

PLANNING PROPOSAL

HERITAGE REVIEW – STAGE 5



CONTENTS

BACKGROUND

PART 1 - OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOMES

PART 2 - EXPLANATION OF THE PROVISIONS

PART 3 - JUSTIFICATION

Section A - Need for the planning proposal

Section B - Relationship to strategic planning framework

Section C - Environmental, social and economic impact

Section D - State and Commonwealth interests

PART 4 - COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

APPENDICES

Appendix A	<i>Hornsby Heritage Study Review – Stage 5, 2012 – Godden Mackay Logan</i>
Appendix B	Executive Managers Report No. PLN33/13 and Council Minutes
Appendix C	Proposed amendments to Schedule D of the <i>HSLEP</i>
Appendix D	Proposed amendments to Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the <i>draft HELP</i>
Appendix E	Schedule of SEPPs
Appendix F	Schedule of Section 117 Directions
Appendix G	Consultation Strategy

BACKGROUND

The *HSLEP 1994*, gazetted in July 1994, includes heritage conservation provisions and lists over 800 heritage items and 6 heritage conservation areas. In response to requests for the deletion or inclusion of items in the heritage schedule, Council commenced a Heritage Review in 1995 which has been implemented over a number of stages as follows: -

- Stage 1 – Aboriginal Heritage Study, Brooklyn Cemetery draft LEP, review of heritage items and correction of anomalies within existing listings.
- Stage 2 – Review of the Beecroft-Cheltenham Heritage Conservation Area and Hornsby West Side Heritage Conservation Area, review of heritage items and correction of anomalies within existing listings.
- Stage 3 – Review of heritage items and correction of anomalies within existing listings.
- Stage 4 – Review of heritage items, heritage listed trees and correction of anomalies within existing listings.

The Heritage Review is an important periodical project carried out by Council to reassess the statutory protection and identified heritage values of locally heritage listed items and heritage conservation areas within Hornsby Shire. Each stage involved the inclusion and deletion of items from Schedule D (Heritage Items) of the *HSLEP 1994*.

Heritage Review Stage 5 commenced in 2011/2012 in accordance with the Strategic Planning Programme. At its meeting on 2 November 2011, Council considered Executive Manager's Report No PLN74/11 concerning the progression of Heritage Review Stage 5 to address the accuracy and completeness of the Council's list of heritage items under Schedule D of the *HSLEP 1994*.

In accordance with Council's resolution, Council commissioned Godden Mackay Logan to undertake the Review and provide recommendations for the deletion, retention or inclusion of 36 properties and 42 gardens from the schedule of heritage items.

Council has recently prepared its Comprehensive LEP, which is a translation of controls within the *HSLEP 1994* in accordance with Department of Planning and Infrastructure's (DP&I) *Standard Instrument*. At its meeting on 19 December 2012, Council resolved to endorse the draft *HLEP* for forwarding to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure for its making.

During the preparation of the draft *HLEP* minor amendments were made to Council's schedule of heritage items to correct any anomalies within existing listings. Further minor anomalies are proposed to be corrected as part of this planning proposal following recently approved subdivisions.

Accordingly, this planning proposal has been prepared generally in accordance with the recommendations of the *Hornsby Heritage Study Review Stage 5* to form an amendment to Schedule D (Heritage Items) of the *HSLEP 1994* or Schedule 5 (Environmental Heritage) of the draft *HLEP* (depending on the timing of the progression of the *Planning Proposal*).

At its meeting on 15 May 2013, Council considered Executive Manager's Report No PLN 33/13(Appendix B) concerning the progression of the *Heritage Review Stage 5 Planning Proposal* and resolved to forward the *Planning Proposal* to the Minister of Planning and Infrastructure, seeking a Gateway Determination to progress the amendments to the *HSLEP 1994* or draft *HLEP* in accordance with Section 56(1) of the Environment and Planning Assessment Act 1979.

A copy of Council's resolution is provided (see Appendix B).

PART 1 - OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOMES

The purpose of this *Planning Proposal* is to amend *HSLEP 1994* or the draft *HLEP* (depending on the timing of the progression of the *Planning Proposal*) generally in accordance with the recommendations of the *Hornsby Heritage Study Review Stage 5*.

PART 2 - EXPLANATION OF THE PROVISIONS

Amendment of the *HSLEP 1994* by:

- Inserting in Schedule D of the *HSLEP 1994*, the amendments as shown in Appendix C.

Amendment of the draft *HLEP 2013* by:

- Inserting in Schedule 5 of the draft *HLEP*, the amendments as shown in Appendix D; and
- Replacing the relevant map sheets of the Heritage Map to provide a revised spatial context for heritage items having regard to those items included, removed and retained by the amendment to Schedule 5 of the draft *HLEP*.

PART 3 - JUSTIFICATION

Section A - Need for the planning proposal

1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

Yes. The proposal is a result of the *Hornsby Heritage Study Review Stage 5 (Study)* undertaken by Godden Mackay Logan in 2012 (Appendix A).

The *Study* includes:

- The listing of 15 new items identified as having heritage significance;
- The removal of 23 heritage items that no longer warrant listing; and
- Updating 8 heritage item listings to reflect current significance.

Statements of significance have been prepared for all new items.

Five further amendments are proposed to update existing heritage item listings to reflect the current property description (Lot and DP) and/or property

address resulting from approved subdivisions, and one removal resulting from a house fire in August 2012.

2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

Yes. The Planning Proposal is the best means of achieving the protection of heritage assets in the long term. The *Planning Proposal* aims to update the statutory protection of Council's heritage items by inclusion, removal and amendment to better conserve items of heritage significance of the natural and built environment in the Hornsby Shire.

The Planning Proposal will assist assessment of development applications involving heritage items or properties located near heritage Items, by ensuring that development is sympathetic to the identified heritage values.

The *Study* recommended the removal of a number of landscape heritage items as their heritage value has been substantially diminished or are adequately protected under Council's Tree Preservation Order or Heritage Conservation Areas.

3. Is there a net community benefit?

Yes. The proposal will clarify the heritage status of a number of properties. The Planning Proposal will also result in a number of items identified as significant to the community being protected under an environmental planning instrument that would contribute to the conservation of the Hornsby Shire's heritage resources and the quality of the environment, assisting to create attractive streetscapes and providing an appealing place to live.

Section B - Relationship to strategic planning framework

4. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable regional or sub-regional strategy (including the Sydney Metropolitan Strategy and exhibited draft strategies)?

Yes. The *Planning Proposal* is consistent with the objectives and actions contained in the *Sydney Metropolitan Strategy* and the *draft North Subregional Strategy*.

The *Planning Proposal* does not include land identified within the *Hornsby Shire Housing Strategy* which provides opportunities for new dwellings to assist in achieving Council's dwelling target under the *Metropolitan Strategy*

5. *Is the planning proposal consistent with the local council's Community Strategic Plan or other local strategic plan?*

Yes. The *Planning Proposal* is consistent with the *Hornsby Shire Management Plan 2011/12*. The Plan outlines Council's strategic direction and summarises the key actions, performance measures, and resources required to deliver these activities each year.

6. *Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable state environmental planning policies?*

The *Planning Proposal* is consistent with State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs). For further details see Appendix E.

7. *Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.117 directions)?*

The *Planning Proposal* is consistent with Section 117 directions. For further details see Appendix F. The following Ministerial Directions are of particular relevance:

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation;
- 3.1 Residential Zones;
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies; and
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Strategy

Section C - Environmental, social and economic impact

8. *Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?*

No. The *Planning Proposal* is aimed at protecting the existing natural and built environment and as such, there is no likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected.

9. *Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how they are proposed to be managed?*

No. There will be no adverse environmental effects as the proposal will be protecting the natural and built environment. There will be positive environmental benefits through the protection of the existing natural and built environment.

Where the proposal is to remove a heritage item, the heritage significance of the item has been considered to be substantially diminished or does not warrant protection as a heritage listed item.

The *Planning Proposal* aims to ensure that the identified heritage qualities are appropriately managed via the development assessment process in accordance with Part 4 Development Assessment under the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979*.

10. *How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?*

The *Planning Proposal* intends to protect a number of items and buildings of heritage significance by amending the list of environmental heritage items within Schedule E of the *HSLEP* and Schedule 5 of the draft *HELP*.

Section D - State and Commonwealth interests

11. *Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?*

Yes. The *Planning Proposal* does not propose to increase permissible residential densities or the scale of development. The *Planning Proposal* relates only to the conservation of the heritage qualities of the existing natural and built environment. Accordingly, no additional public infrastructure is required.

12. *What are the views of State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance within this gateway determination?*

No consultation has been carried out with State and Commonwealth public authorities. Consultation will occur with relevant public authorities identified as part of the Gateway Determination.

PART 4 - COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

It is proposed to publicly exhibit the Planning Proposal for a period of twenty eight days.

It is proposed that consultation be carried out in accordance with the attached Consultation Strategy (Appendix G).